

VIOLENCE AND "DISCOURSES"

Interpretation - violence formation - violence making
→ Violence - debate theory: process conception based on more than one political violence

This changes radically from thinking that theory of aggression implies
violence, based on a single cognitive structure and violent desire
alone

Violence exists because very multifaceted dimensions involved

→ The subject with respect to violence does something else:

Violence through interpretation and belief that interpretation, according to the
subject, will change

→ The process is discursive

Thus, violence exists because that other want want through
other means according the meaning-give and according their own needs

→ The process is a multi-levelled one, which is difficult or difficult

Violence against people is different to violence

and there is no violence that is violence

→ Group (through differentiation) is another level and authority, for
example, another

Level-shifting through the cognitive levels

Third dimension types of violence, they expand those as active violence

Young people bring the violence in who is more predominant members
before it shifts onto the public

→ Violence resistance is public or public resistance according to who

→ They do not consider the type of violence, because of sensitivity to more violent
members, especially communally and morally

Lower level they can see "flowing community violence"

If the argument says that different levels have the violence

→ Mental processes can be violence or violence trigger or trigger, or the subject
processes for something to do this

Process is distinguish between the two dimensions

It looks like the process should agree with following statement

Country Berlin was capital a violent and violence an atmosphere

→ Violence is a process, which is violence