

**THERAPY WORKSHEET: page 1 of 3**

**Clinical Question: In adolescent boys with a family history of depression (population), does fluoxetine (intervention) compared with (?continuing outpatient review – comparison intervention can be discussed) improve the severity of depression (outcome)?**

<b>I Are the results of this individual study valid?</b>	
1. Was the assignment of patients to treatment randomised? And was the randomisation list concealed?	Assignment was by a table of random numbers (stratified by age above and below 12 yr. and sex). <b>Punctuation in the paper is ambiguous (p1032 col 2). Looks like pharmacy randomised the patients and the clinicians remained blind to treatment assignment.</b>
2. Was follow-up of patients sufficiently long and complete?	<b>Follow up for 8 weeks. Insufficient for complete remission of symptoms in most patients.</b>
3. Were all patients analysed in the groups to which they were randomised?	<b>Yes.</b> <b>Primary outcome was an improvement in CGI of 1 or 2 at exit to the study.</b> <b>Note: Not all patients completed the 8 weeks of treatment. 22 dropped out of the placebo group compared with 14 in the treatment group. An ITT of this outcome (improvement at 8 weeks) would therefore have to make assumptions about what happened to the dropouts (improved/not improved).</b>
4. Were patients and clinicians kept "blind" to treatment?	<b>Described as double blind. If placebo was identical to treatment then patients and clinicians should be blind to allocation.</b>
5. Were the groups treated equally, apart from the experimental therapy?	<b>Yes – as a placebo controlled study</b>
6. Were the groups similar at the start of the trial?	<b>Broadly yes. Only statistically significant difference was greater incidence of lifetime co-morbid anxiety in the fluoxetine group. This may reduce the chance of improvement in the treatment group.</b>

<b>II Are the valid results of this individual trial important?</b>	
1. What is the magnitude of the treatment effect?	<p><b>1) Improvement in Clinical Global Impressions Scale (CGI) of 1 or 2 points at exit to the study.</b>  <b>Fluoxetine gp. 56% (27/48)</b>  <b>Placebo gp. 33% (16/48)</b>  <b>Relative risk = 1.69</b>  <b>ARR=23%. NNT=4</b></p> <p><b>2) Minimal symptoms at exit to the study Children's Depression Rating Scale (CDRS) &lt; 28.</b>  <b>Fluoxetine gp. 31% (15/48)</b>  <b>Placebo gp. 23% (11/48)</b>  <b>ARR=8%. NNT=12</b></p> <p><b>3) Note that for CGI = 1+ at end of 8 weeks</b>  <b>fluoxetine = 25/34</b>  <b>placebo = 15/26</b></p> <p><b>4) survival curve takes account of dropouts see fig 1.</b></p> <p><b>5) can discuss use of continuous measures – weekly CDSR score – what is clinical significance of difference 39.8 – 46.8 at week 5?</b></p>
2. How precise is this estimate of treatment effect?	<p><b>CGI. ARR=23% (95% C.I. 4 - 42%)</b></p> <p><b>CDRS. ARR=8% (95% C.I. -10 – 18%)</b></p>