INDICATOR: PUBLIC ORDER	
3a. Dissatisfaction with housing assignment.	3a. Attempts to relocate within camp.
b. Dissatisfaction with public policy.	 b. Evacuees are argumentative, militant, belligerent, or hostile. III-tempered compliance, reluctance to accept responsibility, lack of volunteers, drawing comparisons of camp to native region.
c. Indifference.	 c. Meetings absent of representatives; unresponsive representatives. Actions that indicate apathy, suspicion, doubt, or indifference.
d. Unexplained actions/events.	d. Type of events observed (children watching babies, loud arguments, congregation of evacuees).
e. Civil obedience/disobedience.	e. Common courtesy applied, order maintained on distribution/phone lines.
f. Pleasant or friendly attitudes.	f. People appear comfortable or calm.
g. Greetings.	g. Evacuees acknowledge/do not acknowledge each other and U.S. personnel. Types of greetings used.
h. Fraternization/attempt to fraternize with JTF personnel.	h. Trends and impressions of fratemization.
i. Behavior or conduct indicating confidence/trust through compliance with camp rules, laws, and so on.	i. Specific actions that indicate confidence.

PIR-

Are there any perceived attitude shifts of the evacuees as a result of incoming or outgoing evacuees, implemented programs, or changes of CA personnel?

Relevance: Their attitudes provide indicators relevant for force protection concerns, security of evacuees, and how well we are meeting their needs.

As processing slows, evacuees will become more frustrated and possibly more aggressive.

Rumors regarding movement to United States will create problems.

Need to ensure JTF personnel or interpreters do not compromise departure information.

Are there indications that evacuees have unauthorized access to non-JTF-PH personnel?

Relevance: Such activity will reveal holes in our security procedures and leave us susceptible to black market or physical threat.

Train JTF personnel to be aware of surroundings and learn to identify indicators of this activity (items being sold on street, money exchange, vehicles parked near unguarded areas of camp, monitor local police blotter for theft of items that evacuees may want).

Are there indications of a rise in interfaction conflict or a decrease in tolerance to certain groups or individuals?

Relevance: May pose a need to evaluate our security posture and take appropriate measures. Due to military background of certain evacuees there is the potential for militia groups to form.