

Planning & Scheduling	Caltex	Delta	Sydney Water	Beltana	Tomago AI	Alcoa ARP	Coal & Allied HVO	Hydro AI	Qantas	Bluescope (Transfield)	PWCS	Snowy Hydro
Planning/ CMMS System used	SAP ☺	Ellipse ☺	Maximo ☺	Pulse ☺	SAP R3 → R4 ☺	Easy ☺ -May change to Oracle	Ellipse Planning ☺/ Reporting ☺	SAP R3 ☺	Cameo, SAS ☺	SAP R2 ☺	☺ Maximo V5	Ellipse ☺/ Workplanner, MS
Scheduling & other software systems used	SAP R3 XLS ☺	Ellipse ☺	Excel ☺	Access/ Pulse/ Project ☺	Excel/ MS Project	MS Project 9/ Easy	Ellipse & MS Project ☺ Some interface issues	Excel ☺	Excel Project ☺	MESA ☺ MS Project ☺	☺ Maximo Crystal Rpt MS Excel	Ellipse/ Workplanner, MS Project, MS Excel, RCMCost, CorVu, OMS.
No. of Workorders per week (throughput)	Av 100	600 - 800 (4 Power Stns)	300 per week- Mech/ Elect	50 100	250 - 300		400 - 600/week across all sites	800 - 5 Depts ☺		1500	100 - CCT Terminal Only	Approx. 150 per week total including routine inspections, correctives and breakdowns
Supply/ Procurement/ Spares systems	☺☺	Ellipse ☺	Maximo & Financial Sy ☺	☺☺	☺☺	☺☺	Ellipse ☺☺ BOM's almost non-existent	☺	☺☺	SAP R2 ☺	☺ Maximo/ Oracle	Ellipse ☺, BOM's/ APL's not yet set up. ☺
Organisational Structure for Strategy, Planning, Scheduling and Execution	☺☺	☺	Planning ☺ - Schedule ☺ - Doing ☺	☺	☺	☺	☺ Planners 5 Schedulers 2 Separate breakdown team	☺☺	☺ Sched ☺ Plan	☺ Bluescope Strategy/Transfield - Detailed plan, Scedule & Doing	Planning ☺ - Schedule ☺ - Doing ☺	2 long/medium term planners, 4 regions managing med/short term plans ☺. No dedicated Planners
Scheduling lead time	☺	☺	PM's 30days Overhauls 5 yrs ☺	☺	☺	30 days ☺	7 Days ☺	7 Weeks ☺	☺	2 wks Day to Day/ 6 wks shutdowns ☺ when conf. to	28 days ☺	Large jobs 12mths lead, major plant 3mths, minor work 1mth.
How disciplined are your outages?	☺	☺	☺	Not Very	☺	Regular except for Production Crashes ☺	☺ 5% of shudt jobs cancelled for various reasons	☺	☺	☺	Very ☺☺	Outages are disciplined, but Market driven, flexible ☺
Cost of unavailability/downtime (eg \$/hr)	\$300K/day unit av	\$0 to \$18M/day	\$0/ Customer, EPA & Politicians L	Up to 2K t/hr at \$50/t - \$100K/hr			2000 t/hr product - \$100K/hr revenue	?	\$50K 24hr G/T	Varies per Department		Varies depending on whether it is planned or forced and the market situation
Source of workload - CM/ PM/ Breakdown% - Operator/ Maintenance%	Op's=60% CM/PM=40%	Op 60%/ Maint 40%	30% PM - 30% BM - 30% CM - 10% projects	High % unplanned	5% CM, 40% PM, 25% BM 30% Ops		CM & PM 60% - Breakdown 40%	CM 20% - PM 60% - BN 20%		BM 20%- CM 40% - PM 40%/ Varies per Department	CM 32% - PM 50% - BM 18%	Routine Condition based Insp 70%; Correctives 27%; Breakdown 3%
Quality and availability of trade etc. resources?	☺☺	☺☺	Very Good ☺	Permanent Good/ Contractors erratic	Permanent Good/ Contract Fair	Own OK ☺ - Contractors ☺	☺ Availability on big shutdowns ☺ Quality, some good, some bad	☺☺	☺	Day to Day Good ☺ - Peak Load Average ☺	Quality ☺ - Availability ☺	Quality ☺ - Availability ☺
Average number of tradesmen/ technicians per week	Caltex= av 50/week Contractor= av 50/week	70 TP/Tech	260 internal - 150 outside	Maint 40/ 4-6 trades		Own max 10 - Contractors 40	Own 9/week - contractors 15 to 20 full time equivalent/ on Shutdowns 300	85	350 aprox	2000	55	Approx 100 involved in maintenance, mainly internal
Key KPI's	% Compliance/ % Scheduled/ % Planned work per Capacity/	Timliness/ '0 Rework/ Safety & Env/ Project Cost (Workorder)	Response time BM/ Downtime on equipment/ Backlog of PM's/ Completion of	Availability - 87%/ Compliance		Completion Rate/ MTBF/ MTBR/ W/O completion/ Timeliness	Reliability, Safety, Schedule compliance, Timeliness, Breakdown time %, Costs	Many/ - PM Compliance - Schedule Compliance - Mic Uptime	Various	PVA - Plan vs Actual/ Prime to Scheduled/ WP vs WS/ \$	Various - Planning, Scheduling & Compliance	Safety, Environment, Reliability, Availability, Outage completion to Plan, Routine inspection completion ☺
Quality of planning data available	☺	☺	Good ☺	☺	☺	☺	☺ Loss of man. sys eg. Parts lists ☺ MST's in Ellipse good qual.	☺☺	☺☺	Varies per Department	☺☺	Ellipse MST's and Standard Jobs used extensively in managing routine work. Plans based on RCM analysis and currently not dynamically linked to planning data. Varies with work gp ☺
Quality and accessibility of W/O feedback data	☺	☺	Average ☺	☺	☺	Some gets lost ☺	Poor ☺ Not used by planners often. Don't get it from tradespeople	Very Little ☺	☺	Varies per Department	☺	Can be improved by better quality/ accessibility of plant history for supporting CI. Condition monitoring data is collected, but not well used to improve work ☺