

Peer Reviewer's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewed Peer's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

### Unit 1 Draft 1 Workshop

#### 1. Introduction

Read the introduction, if there is one. Do you know what the essay is going to discuss, and how it's going to do that, and why? Are both articles named? Can you tell this is going to be a summary and argument essay? Write some comments about the introduction here, suggesting what your peer can do to improve it for the reader:

#### 2. Thesis

Look carefully at the thesis. Is it clear WHO should do WHAT and WHY? Are each of these items narrowed down sufficiently for the reader? Do you know exactly who is supposed to do exactly what, or are these terms somewhat vague? Rate the clarity of the thesis on a scale from 1 to 14, with 1 being least clear and 14 being most clear, and then offer some comments and suggestions about how your peer might make the thesis more clear:      Clarity Rating: \_\_\_\_\_

#### 3. Summary

Read the summary. Review the article in CCR. What points has your peer forgotten, if any? Does the writer use attributive tags? Too often? Not enough? Is there at least one quotation? Are pages cited when the writer is paraphrasing? Are there enough details? Too many? Does your peer explain who wrote the article, what the main idea is, what methods the author uses, what evidence the author provides, what counterarguments there might be, and what the main subpoints or subsections of the essay are? Offer some constructive feedback on the summary:

#### 4. Transition Paragraph

Is there a transition paragraph yet? If so, can you follow the transition from the summary to your peer's original argument/thesis? Is there a frame in this paragraph? How is it