

WRITING LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Good news is that our committee helps you communicate your views to the members' and support committee. You can find support e-mails, printed and online, on our website. Letters are edited by the committee but published and distributed fully and without delay when given priority as part of the process.

You can receive your own letters to the editor either about issues that you have discussed online. You will receive one letter in French and one letter signed from the committee at least two arguments that you have discussed online. You can also go to a meeting where you can discuss your arguments and get your own letter to the editor. Letters will be published in the "online forum".

The structure of your letter should be as follows:

1. **Opening**
2. **Comments** (one paragraph maximum) (what is the issue)
3. **Argument one** (or two paragraphs) (supported by facts/arguments)
4. **Argument two** (or three paragraphs) (supported by facts/arguments)
5. **Argument three** (or three paragraphs) (supported by facts/arguments) (this is where you discuss the issue and explain what you are doing about it with arguments/links and history)
6. **Close and thank**

You must always acknowledge by writing language introduced in legal concepts and information in way that supports the committee's work with you. This is the case.

PERTINENT EVIDENCE

There are many different techniques to write your letter. The following are the most used.

Letter to the Editor: This is the use of letters to the editor and is the most common way to express your views to the committee. You can find support e-mails, printed and online, on our website. Letters are edited by the committee but published and distributed fully and without delay when given priority as part of the process.

2.2.1. **Writing a letter to the editor** (see also the section on writing a letter to the editor)

The use of the words **relevant evidence** is to describe the evidence that is the most relevant to the issue. It is used to describe the evidence that is the most relevant to the issue.

Expert: This is when the committee is asked to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue.

Medical: This is when the committee is asked to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue.

Medical Evidence: This is when the committee is asked to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue.

Medical: This is when the committee is asked to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue.

Medical: This is when the committee is asked to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue.

Medical: This is when the committee is asked to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue. The committee will usually ask you to provide an expert view on a particular issue.