

<p>Scenario: you are an MP in the UK. Growth engineering has been developed for the past 20 years and the first generation of growth-only engineers/people are retiring. The UK is now about to decide whether it wants to keep on investing in growth engineering or not. You are invited to participate in a meeting of an ethics committee and you are expected to vote for or against growth engineering. Say how you would vote and explain why?</p> <p>Thought: you are the partner of a lady who feels you cannot afford any colleagues. Say exactly what further progress in life and explain what the implications of the situation.</p> <p><i>How/argued/it supporting your vote?</i></p>	<p>Scenario: you are an MP in the UK. Growth engineering has been developed for the past 20 years and the first generation of growth-only engineers/people are retiring. The UK is now about to decide whether it wants to keep on investing in growth engineering or not. You are invited to participate in a meeting of an ethics committee and you are expected to vote for or against growth engineering. Say how you would vote and explain why?</p> <p>Thought: you are a politician and you think growth will help to raise the standards of living and it is almost impossible for humanity. Further progress would be coming back to primitive conditions that you.</p> <p><i>How/argued/it supporting your vote?</i></p>
<p>Scenario: you are an MP in the UK. Growth engineering has been developed for the past 20 years and the first generation of growth-only engineers/people are retiring. The UK is now about to decide whether it wants to keep on investing in growth engineering or not. You are invited to participate in a meeting of an ethics committee and you are expected to vote for or against growth engineering. Say how you would vote and explain why?</p> <p>Thought: you are a politician and you think growth will help to raise the standards of living and therefore will reduce health care costs/medicine. Everyone will benefit therefore.</p> <p><i>How/argued/it supporting your vote?</i></p>	<p>Scenario: you are an MP in the UK. Growth engineering has been developed for the past 20 years and the first generation of growth-only engineers/people are retiring. The UK is now about to decide whether it wants to keep on investing in growth engineering or not. You are invited to participate in a meeting of an ethics committee and you are expected to vote for or against growth engineering. Say how you would vote and explain why?</p> <p>Thought: you are a politician and you think growth will allow to create better jobs/people and therefore people will not move the land into areas where there are unemployed jobs for everyone.</p> <p><i>How/argued/it supporting your vote?</i></p>