Watershed Restoration Projects Grant Application Evaluation Worksheet October 2009

Projec	t #			Applicant:
Amou	nt Reques	ted	from O	WEB: \$
	SHOLD (ESTION	NS (All answers must be "Yes" for the project to be eligible). In general, the
	Yes		No	Is complete enough to review
	Yes		No	Improves water quality, or fish or wildlife habitat
	Yes		No	Demonstrates sound principles of watershed management
	Yes		No	Uses methods adapted to the project locale
	Yes		No	Meets the requirements in the Oregon Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement Guide

INSTRUCTIONS. The application evaluation is divided into two sections: Project Activity Criteria and Administrative and Fiscal Criteria. Information on where the answer to each question can be found is shown in parentheses. Write comments, as appropriate. Assign a score for each question within the parameters provided in the final column, with a higher score representing a better answer. When you have rated each question, add the scores for a subtotal for each section.

PROJECT ACTIVITY CRITERIA (Refer to Section III for all questions below)	COMMENTS	SCORE
R1. The application adequately describes current conditions and limiting factors.		0-10
R2. The application clearly defines the (a) problem(s) and the (b) <i>root</i> causes of the problem(s).		(a) 0-10 (b) 0-10
R3. The project and each element is clearly described.		0-20
R4. The project addresses an important watershed or ecosystem function. The project location is important to address that function.		0-10
R5. The project has clearly defined, <i>measurable</i> objectives.		0-10
R6. The project designer is (a) experienced and qualified. (b) Project planning and design take into consideration extreme events and alternatives.		(a) 0-5 (b) 0-5
R7. The applicant has considered alternatives and selected the most effective and reasonable alternative.		0-10
R8. The proposed project schedule is well thought out and appears to be realistic.		0-15